BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 04854 9455

SENTENCING AND PENAL POLICY IN CANADA
(Cases, Materials and Commentary)

Volume 1

Fall 2000

David Cole

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
AUG 15 2000
FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

SENTENCING AND PENAL POLICY IN CANADA
(Cases, Materials and Commentary)

Volume 1

Fall 2000

David Cole

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2019 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/sentencingpenalp01cole_0

SENTENCING AND PENAL POLICY IN CANADA

(Vol. 1)

Table of Contents

Chapter 1 – The Philosophical Dimensions of Punishment

1.1	Introduction	1-1
	David Garland, Punishment in Modern Society: A Study in Social Theory	1-3
	R.A. Duff, Trials and Punishments	1-4
	H.L.A. Hart, "Prolegomenon to the Principles of Punishment", in Punishment and Responsibility: Essays in the Philosophy of Law (1968)	1-7
1.2	Utilitarian Theories of Punishment	1-13
	C.L. Ten, Crime, Guilt and Punishment (1987)	1-14
	C.S. Lewis, "The Humanitarian Theory of Punishment" (1953)	1-21
	Don M. Gottfredson, "Effects of Judges' Sentencing Decisions On Criminal Careers". National Institute of Justice (1999)	1-27
1.3	Retributive Theories of Punishment	1-39
	J. Braithwaite & A. Pettit, Not Just Deserts: A Republican Theory of Criminal Justice (1990)	1-39
	C.L. Ten, Crime, Guilt and Punishment (1987)	1-43
	A. von Hirsch, "Proportionality in the Philosophy of Punishment" (1992)	1-52
	Michael Tonry, "Proportionality, Parsimony and Inter-Changeability of Punishments"	1-57
	Daniel W. Van Ness, "Anchoring Just Desserts" (1995)	1-61

1.4	Transcending the Philosophical Debate	1-67
	David Garland, Punishment in Modern Society: A Study in Social Theory (1990)	1-68

Chapter 2 – The Legislative and Judicial Aims of Sentencing

2.1	Introduction	2-1
2.2	The Importance of Judicial Discretion	2-1
2.2.1	The Amalgam or "Wise Blending" of Values	2-2
	Regina v. Willaert (1953)	2-2
	Regina v. Preston (1990)	2-4
	Regina v. McGinn (1989)	2-8
2.3	Shifting Priorities in Judicial Discretion	2-26
2.3.1	Fitness of Sentence and Proportionality to Guilt	2-26
	Regina v. Sweeney (1992)	2-26
	Note	2-34
	Regina v. C.A.M. (1996)	2-35
2.4	Disparity and the Desire for Uniformity of Approach	2-41
	Cole and Manson, Release from Imprisonment: The Law of Sentencing, Parole and Judicial Review (1990)	2-43
	Regina v. Sandercock (1985)	2-44
	Note	2-49
	Regina v. Joseph B. (1990)	2-50
	Note	2-53
	Regina v. McDonnell (1997)	2-53
	Adult Crimin*al* Court Statistics, 1998/99 (2000)	2-74
2.5	Public Opinion	
	Anthony Doob "Understanding Public Views of What Should be Accomplished at Sentencing" (1999)	2-85
2.6	Legislative Guidance	2-99

Chapter 3 – Factors in Aggravation and Mitigation of Sentence

	Nigel Walker, Sentencing: Theory, Law and Practice (1986)	3-1
	Note	3-8
	Nigel Walker, Sentencing: Theory, Law and Practice	

(1986) 3-9
Regina v. Gordon M. (1993) 3-10
Criminal Code, s.718.2 3-14

Chapter 4 – The Factual Basis of Sentencing

4.1 Introduction 4-1

4.2 The Sentencing Hearing as Part of the Trial 4-1

4.3 The Factual Basis of Sentencing 4-2
Regina v. Gardiner (1982) 4-2
Regina v. Poorman (1991) 4-7
Criminal Code Amendments 4-12

4.4 Fact-Finding For Sentence After a Jury's verdict 4-14
Regina v. Brown (1991) 4-14
Regina v. Lawrence (1987) 4-17
Delisle, Annotation (1987) 4-19
Note 4-22

4.5 The Presumption of Innocence at the Sentencing Hearing 4-23

Chapter 5 – Sources of Information Relating to the Offender

5.1 The Right to Speak to Sentence 5-1
Regina v. Schofield (1976) 5-1
Regina v. Dennison (1990) 5-2

5.2 Pre-Sentence Reports 5-5
Regina v. Dolbec (1963) 5-6
Regina v. Rudyk (1975) 5-8
Regina v. Arsenault (1981) 5-11
Regina v. Urbanovich (1985) 5-13

Chapter 6 – Victim Participation in the Sentencing Process

Edna Erez, "Victim Participation in Sentencing:
Rhetoric and Reality" (1990) 6-1
Re Regina and Antler (1982) 6-11
Regina v. Robinson (1983) 6-12
Criminal Code, s.735 6-14
Regina v. Curtis (1992) 6-15
Regina v. Gabriel (1999) 6-21